

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,472	04/07/2004	Stanislav I. Ionov	M-15363 US	7559
65678 7590 12/13/2007 MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID, LLP 2033 GATEWAY PLACE SUITE 400 SAN JOSE, CA 95110			EXAMINER	
			CURS, NATHAN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) IONOV ET AL. 10/820,472 Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner Nathan Curs 2613 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Nathan Curs. (3) (4) (2) Greg Michelson. Date of Interview: 30 November 2007. Type: a) \boxtimes Telephonic b) \square Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 23. Identification of prior art discussed: Yariv. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. q) was not reached. h) \times N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 10/820,472

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Curs and Mr. Michelson discussed Yariv's disclosure compared to the applicant's invention, and agreed with respect to a difference between Yariv and applicant's claimed invention, that Yariv is essentially outputing separate digital output signals after the demultiplexing, where as the sole digital output signal of the applicant's Decision-making circuit is based on the different contributions of the demultiplexed and optical-to-electrical converted signals input to the Decision-making circuit. Mr. Curs and Mr. Michelson also discussed the 35 USC § 112-2nd paragraph essential matter issue, Mr. Curs explaining that essential structure of the claimed means (of e.g. claim 1, lines 7-9) includes a second signal (used for triggering the switching as described in the specification), whether the second signal is a separate input or derived from the first signal.